

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,022	WALSH, HUGH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bo Hui A. Zhu	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bo Hui A. Zhu (Examiner)
- (2) J. Chapp (App-rep)
- (3) Hassan Kizou (SPE)
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 6-20-07

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: REN


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

** Applicant's rep pointed out some unclaimed features that are not disclosed in the reference (REN). Examiner recognizes that if the discussed features are included in the claims, they would define over the REN reference. Examiner will have to update his search if the claims are amended.*



Examiner's signature, if required